PUPT-1743

String Theory and Quark Confinement

arXiv:hep-th/9711002v1 1 Nov 1997

Alexandre M. Polyakov

Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Abstract

This article is based on a talk given at the "Strings '97" conference. It discusses the search for the universality class of confining strings. The key ingredients include the loop equations, the zigzag symmetry, the non-linear renormalization group. Some new tests for the equivalence between gauge fields and strings are proposed.

October 1997

This talk is a brief summary of recent results on the string representation of gauge theories (or gauge theory representation of strings). This subject is twenty years old, but the last word on it has not been spoken. In our opinion this is one of the major challenges of theoretical physics.

The original project (proposed in [1] and developed in [2, 3]) to solve this problem has taken the following route. As a first step we established the equation for the Wilson loop which, being the variational equation in the loop space, encoded the Yang Mills equations in the ordinary space. The next step should be the solution of the loop equation by the string functional integral, analogous to the solutions of the wave equations by the Feynman integrals over trajectories.

In other words, let us consider the Wilson loop as a functional of a contour C

$$W[c(s)] = \frac{1}{N}\langle TrP\exp\oint_C A_\mu dx_\mu\rangle \tag{1}$$

where A_μ is the gauge field for the $SU(N)$ group and $\mathrm{c}_\mu = c_\mu(s)$ is a parametrized contour. The brackets mean the average over the gauge fields with the Yang Mills action. The Schwinger Dyson equations for $A_\mu$translate into the loop equations (we take the large N limit for simplicity):

$$\widehat{L}W(C) = g_0^2\int dsdu\dot{c}(s)\dot{c}(u)\delta(c(s)-c(u))W(\overline{C})W(\overline{\overline{C}}) \tag{2}$$

where g_0 is the Yang Mills coupling constant and the loop operator $\widehat{L}$ is given by [3]

$$\widehat{L} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\oint ds\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} dt\frac{\delta^2}{\delta c(s+t)\delta c(s-t)} \tag{3}$$

In the string picture we interpret $W[C]$ as a sum over surfaces with disk topology, bounded by the contour C, or as an amplitude for the open string the ends of which trace the contour.

The loop equation tells us that when the ends of this open string are hit with the operator $\widehat{L}$ we get "almost" zero, or more precisely the contribution of the pinched disk with the boundary formed by $\overline{C}$ and $\overline{\overline{C}}$.

In the standard string theory there are many relations of a similar nature, although they are explicitly known only in the strings with $c < 1$ where they were derived by the use of the matrix models equations of motion in the remarkable paper [4]. In the general case they appear for the following reason [5]. Let us consider a functional integral over the world sheet metrics and examine the expression

$$\int D\mu(g_{ab})\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{ab}}e^{-S} = \int D\mu(g_{ab})T_{ab}e^{-S} \tag{4}$$

The naive value of this expression is zero while in reality this integral is dominated by the boundaries in the space of metrics. Therefore we may expect a new type of anomalies in the Schwinger-Dyson equations (a possibility noted in [6]). These boundaries are not empty

because we assume that the metric g_{ab} and hence its eigenvalues $\zeta_{1,2}$ are positive definite. Therefore we can have two types of degenerations. The first type which we will call "pinch" occurs when $\zeta_1 = 0$, $\zeta_2 > 0$. This singularity might seem strange, because in the conformal gauge the eigenvalues of the metric are always equal. The situation becomes clear if we consider the following example. Take a metric of the form

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + f^2(r)d\alpha^2 \tag{5}$$

with $f^2 \sim (r - r_0)^2 + \varepsilon^2$ and transform this metric into conformal gauge

$$ds^2 = \rho(R)(dR^2 + R^2 d\alpha^2) \tag{6}$$

It is easy to see that as $\varepsilon \to 0$ the circle $r = r_0$ transforms into the circle $R = R_0 \to \infty$. The region "beyond the horizon", $r > r_0$, represents another sphere attached by the infinitely thin throat. Another type of degeneration in which the metric simply tends to zero will be called shrinking.

A proper understanding of the non-critical strings (which we are still missing) requires the inclusion of the degenerate metrics described above. Notice that the standard calculations with the Liouville action assume that such degenerations are absent. We must add them as separate sectors and thus the typical random surface looks like a collection of smooth components glued together at certain points. It has been understood long ago [7] that this can be viewed as a single smooth surface in the self-consistent background, because the attachment points can be replaced by the vertex operators of string theory. The relation (4) as well as similar relations, containing products of the stress tensors, should generate the non-linear equations for the correlation functions of the theory. In the case of the minimal models these products seem to be related to the degenerate states. Even in this simple case we lack a consistent derivation of these equations in the Liouville theory. Such a derivation presents an important problem, since it would clarify the connection between the Liouville theory and the matrix models. More generally, this non-linear structure may form a foundation of quantum gravity.

It has been further conjectured [5] that the relation (4) results in the non-linear renormalization group for the partition function, having the general form

$$\beta^n(\lambda)\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \lambda^n} = \Gamma^{nm}(\lambda)\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \lambda^n}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \lambda^m} + a(\lambda) \tag{7}$$

Here $\beta(\lambda)$ is the β -function of the theory on the world sheet, λ being the coupling constants; the LHS of this equation is just a trace of the stress tensor. As we mentioned it can also correspond to the products of these operators. The quantity $\Gamma^{nm}(\lambda)$ is a certain metric on the space of the coupling constants. It, as well as a polynomial $a(\lambda)$, must be calculated dynamically in each particular case. The first term in the RHS represents the contribution of the pinched degenerations while the second term, being a polynomial in λ, is a contribution of the collapsed surfaces. Sometimes this quantity could be zero in the continuum

limit. The resulting equations can be interpreted as the Hamilton -Jacobi equations for the corresponding critical string. Unfortunately we still lack the technique needed to make this conjecture a precise statement.

Our basic plan for solving the loop equation is now quite straightforward. We must find a string theory in which the stress tensor is such that at the boundary of the disk it reduces to the operator $\widehat{L}$. If we succeed, the right hand side of the loop equation will arise from the degenerate metrics. However, a look at the standard string theory reveals a serious difficulty. In this case the stress tensor has the form

$$T_{00} \sim \dot{x}^2 + (x^{'})^2 \Rightarrow -\frac{\delta^2}{\delta c^2(\sigma)} + (\frac{dc}{d\sigma})^2 \tag{8}$$

where $x = x(\sigma, \tau)$ is the string coordinate, while $c = c(\sigma)$ is its boundary value. If we insert T_{00} into the disk amplitude we get the loop operator very different from $\widehat{L}$. We would also expect that the pinch at the right hand side of the equation will be saturated by all possible open string states , while in the gauge theory only the vector states appear.

Both discrepancies are due to the fact that the standard non-critical string has the wrong symmetry for our purposes [8]. It is symmetric under diffeomorphisms of the world sheet. Correspondingly we can transform

$$c(s) \Rightarrow c(\alpha(s)) \tag{9}$$

with $\frac{d\alpha}{ds} > 0$. However we need more than that. The Wilson loop $W[c(s)]$ is invariant under $s \Rightarrow \alpha(s)$ with any $\alpha(s)$. Indeed if $\frac{d\alpha}{ds}$ changes sign, the contour $c(\alpha(s))$ backtracks. The unitarity of the parallel transport insures that the Wilson loop remains unchanged under this backtracking.

Hence we need a string theory in which both the open string wave operator and the open string states are invariant under these extended reparametrizations, which we will call the "zigzag symmetry". This symmetry selects the vector states. Indeed let us compare the vector and the tensor vertex operators

$$V_\mu(p) = \oint ds\ \dot{x}_\mu\ (s) e^{ipx(s)} \tag{10}$$

$$V_{\mu\nu}(p) = \oint ds g_{11}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s)\ \dot{x}_\mu\ (s)\ \dot{x}_\nu\ (s) e^{ipx(s)} \tag{11}$$

(where g_{11} is a metric at the boundary). The explicit dependence on $g_{11}(s) > 0$ destroys the zigzag symmetry. That explains why only the vector states appeared in the RHS of (2). On the other hand , it is clear that the standard Virasoro generator (8) also breaks the zigzag symmetry. This becomes clear when one tries to act with this operator on an invariant object like the ordered exponential. The regularized result of such an action will contain $\sqrt{g_{11}}$ once again.

At the same time the operator $\widehat{L}$ acts nicely on the ordered exponentials, keeping their zigzag symmetry intact. In fact, it is the most general operator with this property and

the minimal number of derivatives. This is a counterpart of the fact that the Yang Mills equations are a generic consequence of gauge invariance.

We come to the conclusion that the string theory we need is rather peculiar. While the closed string should have the full-fledged string spectrum, the open string must have only vector states which are the edge states for the disk in consideration. The hint of how to achieve it is given again by the zigzag symmetry. Let us consider a standard string action in a fixed background

$$S = \int d^2\xi [\sqrt{g} g^{ab}(\xi) G_{\mu\nu}(x(\xi)) + \epsilon^{ab} B_{\mu\nu}(x(\xi))] \partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu + ... + \oint_{\partial M} B_\mu dx^\mu \tag{12}$$

The zigzag symmetry forbids the first term in this expression since the presence of the square root requires the positivity of the Jacobian. Therefore we expect that this term must be absent and the correct representation for the Wilson loop must be something like

$$W[C] = \sum_{(S_C)} \exp(i \int_{S_C} B_{\mu\nu}(x(\xi), \{c(s)\}) d\sigma^{\mu\nu} \tag{13}$$

with the background field B which can depend on the contour and must be determined from the self-consistency.

It has been shown before [8] that in the abelian theory of confinement the representations of this type arise quite naturally. Summation over surfaces in this case enforces the Bianchi identity which includes monopoles leading to confinement. We will discuss possible generalizations of this fact below.

Before coming to the precise definition of the meaning of the sum in this formula, let us discuss its qualitative significance. The major fact encoded in it is that the open strings have zero tension. This is seen from the fact that the string tension is determined by the scale of $G_{\mu\nu}$ which we set to zero. Another useful point of view comes from the T-duality (the transformation which in the old days was called the Fourier transform). The loop equation in the momentum representation has been written long ago in [9]. One defines

$$\widetilde{W}[p(s)] = \int Dx(s) W[x(s)] e^{i \int p dx} \tag{14}$$

It has been noticed in this work that $\widetilde{W}[p(s)]$ is non-zero only for the piecewise constant $p(s)$ presentable in the form

$$p(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} p_k \theta(s - s_k) \tag{15}$$

and that the action of the operator $\widehat{L}$ is given by

$$\widehat{L}\widetilde{W} = \sum_k (p_k - p_{k+1})^2 \widetilde{W} \tag{16}$$

as can be easily seen from its definition (2). To interpret this relation let us consider a collection of D-instantons located at the positions $\{p_k\}$ and connected by the open strings

going from p_k to p_{k+1} .The Virasoro generator, L_0 , for these strings has the form

$$L_0 \sim \sum_k (p_k - p_{k+1})^2 + b^2 \sum_{n,k} a^+_{n,k} a_{n,k} \tag{17}$$

where b^2 is the string tension for the dual string. We see that in the limit $b \to \infty$ the excitations of the connecting strings have infinite energy and hence the Virasoro generator acting on the ground states of the connecting strings becomes identical with the loop Laplacian. As we return to the original strings , we obtain the tension $a^2 \sim b^{-2} \to 0$ and we recover the tensionless strings once again.

Is it possible to have a string theory with tensionless open strings and tensile closed strings? The affirmative answer to this question is based on the following observation. So far we considered the non-critical strings described by the coordinates $x^\mu(\xi)$ and the world sheet metric $g_{ab}(\xi) = e^\varphi \delta_{ab}$ where $\varphi(\xi)$ is the Liouville field. It is well known that non-critical strings in n dimensions can be viewed as the critical strings in the $n+1$ -dimensional space, formed by $y^M = (x^\mu, \varphi)$. In this description the background fields $G_{MN}(y), B_{MN}(y), \Phi\ (y)...$ must satisfy the β -function equations (see [10] for a review) which enforce conformal symmetry on the world sheet. At the same time in the non-critical description the background fields $G_{\mu\nu}(x), ...$ can be chosen arbitrarily. The relation between these approaches is that the latter fields fix the boundary values at $\varphi = 0$ for the former ones

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x, \varphi = 0) = G_{\mu\nu}(x); ... \tag{18}$$

It has been conjectured in [5] that the non-linear renormalization group (7) arises in the critical description as the Hamilton-Jacobi equations satisfied by the $n+1$ -dimensional effective action as a functional of the boundary data. An important unsolved problem is to establish these equivalences from the first principles. Among other things this would elucidate the still mysterious relation between the continuous 2d quantum gravity and the matrix models.

We are now in a position to give a concrete meaning to the ansatz (13). The zigzag symmetry means that we must be looking for the backgrounds satisfying the conditions

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x, \varphi = 0) = 0 \tag{19}$$

The contour defining the Wilson loop must be placed in the subspace $\varphi = 0$

$$W[c(s)] = \int_{x|_{\partial M} = c; \varphi|_{\partial M} = 0} DxD\varphi e^{-S} \tag{20}$$

In other words the open string flies in the (x, φ) -space keeping its feet (its ends) on the ground ($\varphi = 0$). The condition of conformal invariance, as we will see, induces in this case a contour-dependent $B_{\mu\nu}$ -field.

In the case of closed strings the general ansatz compatible with the symmetries of the problem is given by

$$S = \int d^2\xi [(\partial\varphi)^2 + a^2(\varphi)(\partial x)^2 + \Phi(\varphi) R\sqrt{g}] \tag{21}$$

Here $\Phi(\varphi)$ is the dilaton field, R is the curvature of the world sheet, and, most importantly $a^2(\varphi)$ is the running string tension. The zigzag symmetry requires

$$a^2(0) = 0 \tag{22}$$

Let us discuss this requirement. It is well known that the non-critical strings with $c < 1$ are described by the above effective action (with the tachyon added) with $a(\varphi) = 1$. At $c = 1$ the tachyon becomes marginally stable and simultaneously the second solution, describing a 2d black hole appears (see [10] for a review). This second solution has the variable string tension, satisfying (22). As we move beyond $c = 1$ the first branch with constant tension becomes unstable. We will present now arguments in favor of the conjecture that as we go beyond $c = 1$ the second branch with the running string tension really describes the string dynamics. It will not be ruled out however that the stabilization will require the world sheet supersymmetry (see below).

The exact effective action depending on the background fields G, B, Φ has the form

$$\Gamma = \int d\varphi d^n x [\frac{1}{3}(c(G,B) - 26) - (\nabla\Phi)^2] e^{\Phi} \tag{23}$$

Here $c(G,B) \geq 0$ is the central charge of the σ -model on the flat world sheet describing the corresponding target space. It is likely that the higher derivatives of the dilaton Φ do not appear in this action (this can be proved at least for the ansatz (21); see also the discussion of related matters and references in [10]). As a side remark, let us notice that in string theory the only source of the notorious non-positivity of the Euclidean action comes from the dilaton. This may have cosmological consequences.

The central charge has the well known perturbative expansion

$$c = n + 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} R + ... \tag{24}$$

where R is a scalar curvature. At the large positive R the central charge is not becoming negative (since the formula (24) is not applicable in this region) but instead tends to zero. This happens because the σ -model with positive curvature develops a mass gap. At the large negative R the central charge presumably goes to $+\infty$ (except in the case when the target space is compact, like a fundamental domain of some discrete group; in this case the theory has a fixed point).

We are interested in the solution for the ansatz (21). Its substitution in (23) gives

$$\Gamma = \int d\varphi e^{\chi} [\frac{1}{3}(n - 25) - \dot{\chi}^2 + \frac{1}{3} c(\dot{\rho}, \ddot{\rho}, ...)] \tag{25}$$

where $\rho = \log(a), \chi = \Phi + n \log(a)$. In the one loop approximation we have $c \approx \dot{\rho}^2$ and this action as well as the corresponding solutions are well known. In this case, as was found in many works (reviewed in [10]) the asymptotic behavior of the string tension is given by

$$a(\varphi) \sim \varphi^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \tag{26}$$

Unfortunately the curvature in this limit becomes large and negative. That invalidates the one loop approximation. What really defines the asymptotic behaviour of the string tension $a^2(\varphi)$ is the behaviour of the central charge at very large negative curvatures. This is presently not known. It is likely that the needed asymptotic can be found, but presently we have to take the existence of the running tension as an assumption. We also have to leave open the choice between the solutions with the constant negative curvatures in which the tensionless point is located at the infinite distance in φ and the more likely case when this distance is finite.

Even with this limited knowledge we can analyze the consequences of the running tension. One possibility is to compare directly the operators of the gauge theory like $TrF^2_{\mu\nu}$ with the closed string vertex operators. The simplest type of the latter is given by

$$V(p) = \int d^2\xi \Psi_p(\varphi(\xi)) e^{ipx(\xi)} \tag{27}$$

with the wave functions $\Psi_p(\varphi)$ determined again from the conditions of conformal invariance. In the one loop approximation it is easy to calculate all these quantities and to see that the correlation function are power-like in the momentum space. Unfortunately this approximation is inadequate and we still can't compare these correlation functions with their values predicted by asymptotic freedom.

Let us discuss other approaches to the problem. The Wilson loop which we discussed so far is a somewhat difficult object for the non-critical string theory since the corresponding functional integral includes (in the conformal gauge) averaging over the reparametrizations of the boundary. This averaging presents an interesting but unsolved problem, which we hope to discuss elsewhere. Presently we will introduce two alternative descriptions to circumvent this problem and to simplify the comparison between strings and gauge theories.

The first alternative is to replace the contours with the D0 branes. Let us notice that confining strings, among other things, must pass what we will call "the zero-brane test". Let us take first the straight line as a contour. It is parametrized as follows

$$c^1(s) = s; c^k(s) = 0; k = 2, ...n \tag{28}$$

Compare the functional integral for this contour with the one characterized by the D0-brane boundary conditions

$$c^k \mid_{\partial M} = 0; c^1 \mid_{\partial M} = free \tag{29}$$

The difference between these conditions is that in the first case the surface is attached to the straight line without folds and voids, while in the second case folds and voids are allowed and actually present. In the standard non-critical string the two cases are different. In the case of the confining string the zigzag symmetry makes contours and D0-branes equivalent(and that is what we called the "zero brane test"). Let us now consider a small deformation of the D0-brane

$$c^1 \mid_{\partial M} = free; c^k \mid_{\partial M} = \zeta^k(c^1) \tag{30}$$

and examine the ζ -dependence in the string and gauge theories. In the gauge theory it is easy to calculate this dependence in the case of small ζ which are non-zero at the small range of c^1 (they represent a small bump on the straight line). In this case the answer is dominated by the one gluon exchange with the renormalized charge and is given by

$$W \sim \sum_p \frac{\mid p \mid^3}{\log \mid \frac{p}{m} \mid} \widetilde{\zeta}^k(p)\widetilde{\zeta}^k(-p) \tag{31}$$

where we passed to the momentum representation in c^1 with p being the momentum, and introduced the gauge theory scale m . The formula is valid if $p \gg m$ and $m\zeta \ll 1$.

At the same time in string theory the D0-brane contribution is given by the expectation value [11]

$$W[\zeta] = \langle \exp i \oint_{\partial M} \zeta^k(c^1) \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial n} ds \rangle \tag{32}$$

where the integral is taken along the boundary of the disk and n is the direction of the normal.

In the standard string $W[\zeta]$ is described in the low energy limit by the Born-Infeld action. In the confining string its calculation is still an open problem. It requires the evaluation of the two-point function of the vertex operators

$$V_k(p) = \oint \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial n} e^{ipx^1} ds \tag{33}$$

and the formula (31) tells us what the answer should be.

The second alternative is to replace the contour dependence of the Wilson loop by the dependence on the external field. Let us consider the following functional

$$Z[B_\mu(x)] = \sum_{(C)} TrPe^{\oint B(x)dx} W(C) \tag{34}$$

The external field $B_\mu(x)$ may be arbitrary. In terms of string theory we are introducing a boundary vector field , which is a standard procedure. In the gauge theory this object is somewhat unusual. In particular, much care is needed to define the summation over contours in the formula (34). We try to define it as follows

$$\sum_{(C)}(...) = \lim_{L\to\infty} N(L) \int Dx(s) \exp[-\frac{1}{L}\int_0^1 ds (\frac{dx}{ds})^2](...) \tag{35}$$

Typically one needs an infinite factor $N(L)$ to make it well defined. The whole operation seems much better defined if one introduces supersymmetry on the world line by considering $x^\mu = x^\mu(s,\vartheta) = x^\mu(s) + \vartheta\psi^\mu(s)$. In order to have a non-trivial object we must take x to be periodic while ψ to be antiperiodic on the world line. We also have to add the term $\int \psi \frac{d\psi}{ds} ds$ in the exponential in (35).The resulting object is equal to

$$Z[B] = \lim_{L\to\infty} \langle \exp(-LD^2(A+B)\rangle_A \tag{36}$$

where $D(A)$ is the Dirac operator in the gauge field A. It is interesting to notice that the Laplace transform of this quantity is the spectral density of the Dirac operator near zero energy. It has been conjectured [12] that it can be described by a certain matrix model. This fact may have some relation to what follows.

We will now introduce a conjecture concerning the equation satisfied by Z. It seems likely that the conjecture works only for the supersymmetrized version since we have bad divergencies otherwise. Let us use the following identity

$$\sum_{(C)} \widehat{L}(TrPe^{\oint Bdx}W(C)) = \int dxa(\Phi_{\mu\nu}(x)) \tag{37}$$

Here Φ is the field strength for the gauge field B , the local function $a(\Phi)$ represents a contribution of the collapsed loops; this contribution is not necessarily analytic in Φ. This identity is conjectural, because the subtle limiting procedures involved in the functional integration by parts are still to be justified and possible anomalies to be analyzed. If we trust these naive transformations, this relation results in the following equation for $Z[B]$

$$\int dxTr(\nabla_\mu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(B)\frac{\delta Z}{\delta B_\nu}) = g_0^2 \int dxTr(\frac{\delta Z}{\delta B_\mu})^2 + \int dxa(\Phi) \tag{38}$$

Somewhat analogous equations have been discussed in [13, 14]. The interest of this equation is that it has the form of the general string equation for the open string partition function (7). The only difference between the two is that in the standard string theory we expect an infinite number of the intermediate states saturating the pinched disk, while here we have only the vector state. As was explained above this may be a consequence of the zigzag symmetry. Unfortunately we can't make the discussion more quantitative until the explicit string background with the running tension is found. The only comment to be added is that the gauge theory favors the Wilson super-loops. This might be a hint that we should be looking for solutions of the string theory with the supersymmetry on the world sheet (but not in space-time). Indeed such theories seem to be better defined and may be more suited for our purposes. However a deeper insight is needed to make a final choice.

Let us now discuss another aspect of our subject. As was explained in [8], the role of the random surfaces in confining strings can be viewed as the enforcement of the Bianchi identity on the field strength. Take an abelian theory first. In this case one has to sum over all possible surfaces independent of their topology. This summation selects very special configurations of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$. Indeed, there is a large class of fields for which the exponential in (13) does not depend on the surface at all, thus leading to the divergence of the sum. This is the case when $B_{\mu\nu}$ is an abelian field strength, corrected by the quantized magnetic monopoles

$$dB = 0(mod\ Z) \tag{39}$$

It was conjectured in [8] that the summation over surfaces furnishes a δ -function concentrated on those monopoles, and thus reproduces the old solution of the abelian quark

confinement [15]. In other words we conjectured that in the standard first order formalism of gauge theory with the action given by

$$S \sim \int F^2 + \int B_{n-3} \wedge dF \tag{40}$$

where the $n-3$ -form B is a Lagrange multiplier we can replace the integration over all possible fields B by the integration over 2-surfaces S on which this field is concentrated

$$* \, dB_{n-3} = \int_S \delta(x-y) d\sigma_2(y) \tag{41}$$

The surfaces which appear here are precisely the world surfaces of electric strings. It must be remembered that in the abelian case one must sum over the surfaces with arbitrary topology and arbitrary number of the disconnected components. It is easy to define this summation on a lattice [8]. Of course in the abelian case the string representation is rather impractical. Its only role is to motivate the non-abelian string representation in which case the string coupling constant is $\sim N^{-1}$ and higher topologies are suppressed.

Can the above formulae be generalized to the non-abelian case? Let us describe a path which may lead to this goal. It is based on Kirillov's formula for characters (geometric quantization)(see [16] for a recent review)

$$TrPe^{\oint A dx} = \int Dg(s) \exp[\int_0^1 ds \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} Tr(K A_\mu^g)] \tag{42}$$

where

$$A^g \frac{dx}{ds} = g^{-1} A \frac{dx}{ds} g + g^{-1} \frac{dg}{ds} \tag{43}$$

and K is an element of the Cartan algebra defined by the weight μ of the representation in question, $K = (\mu H)$. This element defines an orbit associated with the representation in question. It is well known that for the constant A this functional integral can be first localized on the constant matrices g and then either localized again or directly calculated by the use of the Itzykson - Zuber formula. The result is the Weyl formula for characters.

We are looking for the two-dimensional generalization of this construction. It should provide us with an expression containing a non-abelian 2-form field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and a random surface S in such a way that the sum over surfaces is peaked at the 2-forms which are the Yang-Mills field strengths i.e. expressible through some vector potential. A possible expression compatible with the zigzag symmetry is given by

$$S \sim \int d^2\xi \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a x^\mu \partial_b x^\nu Tr(K g^{-1} B_{\mu\nu}(x(\xi)) g(\xi)) + \Phi[g] \tag{44}$$

where $\Phi[g]$ is a 2d action for the chiral field $g(\xi)$ which might contain the Kirillov 2-form and the WZNW topological term. It is easy to adjust them so that the Yang-Mills expression for $B_{\mu\nu}$ extremizes the action. However I have not found the action which picks up the Yang-Mills configurations only. This is an interesting subject which has obvious connections with

the non-abelian Stokes theorem [17] on one hand and with the theory of non-abelian 2-forms on the other.

However the above puzzle has little to do with the solution of the loop equations. The chiral field $g(\xi)$ which represents the non-abelian electric flux along the string must acquire a finite correlation length in the confining phase. Its only role must be in providing correct topological weights to the electric random surfaces. This is the consequence of the fact that in the confining phase the only memory of the group-theoretic structure is hidden in the t'Hooft factor N^{χ} where χ is the Euler character of the random surface. Perhaps we can interpret the φ -dependent field $B_{\mu\nu}$ of the first part of this paper as a density of the eigenvalues for the non-abelian field strength. At the present stage of development it is impossible to make these statements more precise.

Finally let us discuss a possible scenario in higher dimensions. In this case the Yang -Mills theory is not confining in the weak coupling phase and must have a phase transition leading to confinement. The nature of this transition is not known. If we conjecture that it is of the second kind, we can consider a confining continuous theory in the vicinity of the critical point. In particular there will be confining strings in the 10d SYM theory. They well may belong to the same universality class as the type II critical strings and in particular contain gravitons made of the gauge fields. Let us stress that the usual objection against the SYM theory in 10d based on its anomalies is not valid since the structure of the massless states completely changes in the process of the phase transition. Notice also that in contrast with the M(atrix) theory we are considering the standard and well defined large N limit.

We see that better understanding of confinement may also shed light on the Planck physics.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under contract PHYS-90-21984.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Polyakov Phys. Lett.82B(1979) 247
[2] Yu. Makeenko, A. Migdal Nucl. Phys. B188 (1981) 269
[3] A. Polyakov Nucl. Phys. B164 (1980) 171
[4] V. Kazakov Mod. Phys. Lett A 4,22 (1989) 2125
[5] A. Polyakov Proceedings of the Les Houches School (1993)
[6] A. Polyakov Proceedings of the Les Houches School (1988)
[7] A. Polyakov "Gauge Fields and Strings" Harwood Academic Publishers (1987)
[8] A. Polyakov Nucl Phys B486 (1997) 23
[9] A. Migdal Nucl Phys B265 (1986) 594
[10] A. Tseytlin Class Quantum Grav 12 (1995) 2365
[11] J. Polchinski Preprint HEP-TH /961105
[12] J. Verbaarschot Preprint HEP-TH /9705029
[13] A. Migdal Nucl Phys Proc Suppl 41(1995) 151
[14] H. Verlinde Preprint HEP-TH /9705029
[15] A. Polyakov Phys Lett 59B(1975) 80
[16] R. Jackiw Preprint HEP-TH /9604040
[17] M. Diakonov V. Petrov Preprint HEP-TH /9606104